













EXAMPLE 4.1 CONSUMER EXPENDITURES IN THE UNITED STATES

We can derive Engel curves for groups of consumers. This information is particularly useful if we want to see how consumer spending varies among different income groups.



TABLE 4.1 AN	NUAL U.S.	HOUSEHO	OLD CONS	UMER EXF	PENDITURI	ES	
		INCO	ME GROU	IP (2009 \$	i)		
EXPENDITURES (\$) ON:	LESS THAN \$10,000	10,000– 19,999	20,000– 29,999	30,000– 39,999	40,000– 49,999	50,000- 69,999	70,000 AND ABOVE
Entertainment	1,041	1,025	1,504	1,970	2,008	2,611	4,733
Owned Dwelling	1,880	2,083	3,117	4,038	4,847	6,473	12,306
Rented Dwelling	3,172	3,359	3,228	3,296	3,295	2,977	2,098
Health Care	1,222	1,917	2,536	2,684	2,937	3,454	4,393
Food	3,429	3,529	4,415	4,737	5,384	6,420	9,761
Clothing	799	927	1,080	1,225	1,336	1,608	2,850
Copyright © 2013 Pearson	n Education. In	c. • Microecon	omics • Pindy	/ck/Rubinfeld. 8	ie.		8 of 50

















4.3 Market Demand



17 of 50

• **market demand curve** Curve relating the quantity of a good that all consumers in a market will buy to its price.

From Individual to Market Demand

TABLE 4.2	DETERMINING THE	MARKET DEMAND	CURVE	
(1) PRICE (\$)	(2) INDIVIDUAL A (UNITS)	(3) INDIVIDUAL B (UNITS)	(4) INDIVIDUAL C (UNITS)	(5) MARKET UNITS
1	6	10	16	32
2	4	8	13	25
3	2	6	10	18
4	0	4	7	11
5	0	2	4	6

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.









TABLE 4.3	PRICE ELASTICITY AND CO	ONSUMER EXPENDITURES	
DEMAND	IF PRICE INCREASES, EXPENDITURES	IF PRICE DECREASES, EXPENDITURES	
Inelastic	Increase	Decrease	
Unit elastic	Are unchanged	Are unchanged	
Elastic	Deersees		
peculative D	Decrease	Increase	
speculative C speculative of m owning or of the good will	Decrease Demand demand Demand driven consuming a good but instr increase.	not by the direct benefits ead by an expectation that	one obtai





EXAMPLE 4.4 THE DEMAND FOR HOUSING

There are significant differences in price and income elasticities of housing demand among subgroups of the population.

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.



25 of 50

TABLE 4.4	PRICE AND INCOM	E ELASTICITIES OF THE	DEMAND FOR ROOMS
GROUP		PRICE ELASTICITY	INCOME ELASTICITY
Single indiv	riduals	- 0.10	0.21
Married, he age less that	ad of household an 30, 1 child	- 0.25	0.06
Married, he more childr	ad age 30–39, 2 or en	- 0.15	0.12
Married, he 1 child	ad age 50 or older,	- 0.08	0.19

In recent years, the demand for housing has been partly driven by speculative demand. Speculative demand is driven not by the direct benefits one obtains from owning a home but instead by an expectation that the price will increase.







pyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.









EXAMPLE 4.7 FACEBOOK

By early 2011, with over 600 million users, Facebook became the world's second most visited website (after Google). A strong positive network externality was central to Facebook's success.

TABLE 4.3	FACEBOOK USERS	
YEAR	FACEBOOK USERS (MILLIONS)	HOURS PER USER PER MONTH
2004	1	
2005	5.5	
2006	12	<1
2007	50	2
2008	100	3
2009	350	5.5
2010	500	7

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.



33 of 50

Network externalities have been crucial drivers for many modern technologies over many years.

TABLE 4.6 DEMAND DATA			
YEAR	QUANTITY (<i>Q</i>)	PRICE (<i>P</i>)	INCOME (/)
2004	4	24	10
2005	7	20	10
2006	8	17	10
2007	13	17	17
2008	16	10	27
2009	15	15	27
2010	19	12	20
2011	20	9	20
2012	22	5	20
	Q = a -	bP + cI	
e and th	o loget equa	os mothe	d the der
e and th	e least squar	res metric	a, the der
e and th	e least squai 2 – 8 08 –	res metho $49P \pm 9$	d, the der





EXAMPLE 4.8 THE DEMAND FOR READY-TO-EAT CEREAL

The acquisition of Shredded Wheat cereals of Nabisco by Post Cereals raised the question of whether Post would raise the price of Grape Nuts, or the price of Nabisco's Shredded Wheat Spoon Size.

One important issue was whether the two brands were close substitutes for one another. If so, it would be more profitable for Post to increase the price of Grape Nuts after rather than before the acquisition because the lost



sales from consumers who switched away from Grape Nuts would be recovered to the extent that they switched to the substitute product.

The substitutability of Grape Nuts and Shredded Wheat can be measured by the cross-price elasticity of demand for Grape Nuts with respect to the price of Shredded Wheat. One isoelastic demand equation appeared in the following log-linear form:

 $\log(Q_{\rm GN}) = 1.998 - 2.085\log(P_{\rm GN}) + 0.62\log(I) + 0.14\log(P_{\rm SW})$

pyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

The demand for Grape Nuts is elastic, with a price elasticity of about -2. Income elasticity is 0.62. the cross-price elasticity is 0.14. The two cereals are not very close substitutes.

Interview and Experimental Approaches to **Demand Determination** Another way to obtain information about demand is through interviews. This approach, however, may not succeed when people lack information or interest or even want to mislead the interviewer. In direct marketing experiments, actual sales offers are posed to potential customers. An airline, for example, might offer a reduced price on certain flights for six months, partly to learn how the price change affects demand for flights and partly to learn how competitors will respond. Alternatively, a cereal company might test market a new brand, with some potential customers being given coupons ranging in value from 25 cents to \$1 per box. The response to the coupon offer tells the company the shape of the underlying demand curve. Direct experiments are real, not hypothetical, but even so, problems remain. The wrong experiment can be costly, and the firm cannot be entirely sure that these increases resulted from the experimental change; other factors probably changed at the same time. Moreover, the response to experiments-which consumers often recognize as short-lived-may differ from the response to permanent changes. Finally, a firm can afford to try only a limited number of experiments.

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

38 of 50









Marginal Utility of Income

The Lagrange multiplier I represents the extra utility generated when the budget constraint is relaxed. To show how the principle works, we differentiate the utility function U(X, Y) totally with respect to *I*:

$$dU/dI = MU_X(X,Y)(dX/dI) + MU_Y(X,Y)(dY/dI)$$
(A4.9)

Because any increment in income must be divided between the two goods, it follows that

$$dI = P_X dX + P_Y dY \tag{A4.10}$$

Substituting from (A4.5) into (A4.9), we get

$$dU/dI = \lambda P_X(dX/dI) + \lambda P_Y(dY/dI) = \lambda P_X dX + P_Y dY/dI$$
 (A4.11)

Substituting from (A4.10) into (A4.11), we get

$$dU/dI = \lambda \left(P_X dX + P_Y dY / P_X dX + P_Y dY \right) = \lambda$$
(A4.12)

Thus the Lagrange multiplier is the extra utility that results from an extra dollar of income.

pyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

An Example • **Cobb-Douglas utility function** Utility function $U(X, Y) = X^a Y^{1-a}$, where X and Y are two goods and a is a constant. The Cobb-Douglas utility function can be represented in two forms: $U(X,Y) = a\log(X) + (1-a)\log(Y)$ and $U(X,Y) = X^a Y^{1-a}$ To find the demand functions for X and Y, given the usual budget constraint, we first write the Lagrangian: $\Phi = a\log(X) + (1 - a)\log(Y) - \lambda(P_X X + P_Y - I)$ Now differentiating with respect to X, Y, and I and setting the derivatives equal to zero, we obtain $\partial \Phi / \partial X = a/X - \lambda P_X = 0$ $\partial \Phi / \partial \mathbf{Y} = (1 - a) / \mathbf{Y} - \lambda P_{\mathbf{Y}} = 0$ $\partial \Phi / \partial \lambda = P_X X + P_Y Y - I = 0$ Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

44 of 50

The first two conditions imply that

 $P_X X = a/\lambda \tag{A4.13}$

 $P_Y Y = (1 - a)/\lambda$ (A4.14)

Combining these expressions with the last condition (the budget constraint) gives us

$$a/\lambda + (1-a)/\lambda - I = 0$$

or $\lambda = 1/I$. Now we can substitute this expression for λ back into (A4.13) and (A4.14) to obtain the demand functions:

$$X = (a/P_X)I$$
$$Y = [(1-a) / P_Y]I$$

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

Copyright © 2013 Pearson Education, Inc. • Microeconomics • Pindyck/Rubinfeld, 8e.

Duality in Consumer Theory • duality Alternative way of looking at the consumer's utility maximization decision: Rather than choosing the highest indifference curve, given a budget constraint, the consumer chooses the lowest budget line that touches a given indifference curve. Minimizing the cost of achieving a particular level of utility: Minimize $P_X X + P_Y Y$ subject to the constraint that $U(X, Y) = U^*$ The corresponding Lagrangian is given by $\Phi = P_X X + P_Y Y - \mu(U(X, Y) - U^*)$ (A4.15) Differentiating with respect to X, Y, and μ and setting the derivatives equal to zero, we find the following necessary conditions for expenditure minimization: $P_X - \mu MU_X(X, Y) = 0$ $P_Y - \mu \mathrm{MU}_Y(X, Y) = 0$ $U(X,Y) = U^*$ and

46 of 50







