

# Computation of Hilbert bases and Graver bases

Lunch talk, 24-11-2005

Raymond Hemmecke

[raymond@hemmecke.de](mailto:raymond@hemmecke.de)

# Let's start with integral bases...

For  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  we call  $T \subseteq S$  an **integral generating set** every  $s \in S$  can be written as

$$s = \sum \alpha_i t_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, t_i \in T.$$

**Theorem. (H. & Weismantel)**  $S$  possesses a **finite** integral generating set if and only if  $\text{cone}(S)$  is a rational polyhedral cone.

**Consequence.** For every rational pointed cone  $C$ , the set  $S = C \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  possesses a finite integral basis called **Hilbert basis** of  $S$  (or of  $C$ ).

If  $C$  is also **pointed**, there is a **unique** inclusion-minimal Hilbert basis.

# What is a Graver basis?

$\mathbb{O}_j = j^{\text{th}}$  orthant of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$H_j =$  (unique) minimal Hilbert basis of  $\ker_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A) \cap \mathbb{O}_j$ .

$$G(A) := \bigcup H_j \setminus \{0\}$$

is called the **Graver basis** of  $A$ .

$G(A)$  is an **integral generating set** of  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A)$  in **every** orthant, that is,  $G(A) \cap \mathbb{O}_j$  is an integral generating set of  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A) \cap \mathbb{O}_j$ .

# The relation $\sqsubseteq$

For  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $u \sqsubseteq v$  iff  $u^{(i)}v^{(i)} \geq 0$  and  $|u^{(i)}| \leq |v^{(i)}|$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

$G(A)$  is exactly the set of  $\sqsubseteq$ -minimal elements in  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ .

$G(A)$  has the **positive sum property** w.r.t.  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A)$ , that is, **every**  $z \in \ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A)$  possesses a  $\sqsubseteq$ -representation w.r.t.  $G(A)$ :

$$z = \sum \alpha_i g_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, g_i \in G(A), g_i \sqsubseteq z.$$

$G(A)$  is the **unique inclusion-minimal** subset of  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A)$  that has the positive sum property w.r.t.  $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}^n}(A)$ .

# Criterion for PSP

Given a lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Infinite test.** A set symmetric set  $G \subseteq \Lambda$  has the p.s.p. w.r.t.  $\Lambda$  if and only if **every**  $z \in \Lambda$  is  $\square$ -representable w.r.t.  $G$ .

**Finite test.** A set symmetric set  $G \subseteq \Lambda$  has the p.s.p. w.r.t.  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $G$  generates  $\Lambda$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and if **every** sum  $u+v$ ,  $u, v \in G$ , is  $\square$ -representable w.r.t.  $G$ .

This leads immediately to a finite algorithm due to L. Pottier, which is based on a so-called **completion procedure**.

# Idea of proof

$$z \in \Lambda$$

$$z = \sum \alpha_i g_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, g_i \in G$$

$$\sum \alpha_i \|g_i\|_1 \geq \|z\|_1 \quad \text{triangle inequality}$$

**Equality** holds iff and only if  $g_i \sqsubseteq z$  for all  $i$ .

# Idea of proof (2)

Assume  $\sum \alpha_i \|g_i\|_1 > \|z\|_1 \longrightarrow \exists g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, k$  with  $g_{i_1}^{(k)} g_{i_2}^{(k)} < 0$

$$g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} = \sum \beta_j \bar{g}_j, \quad \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \bar{g}_j \in G, \bar{g}_j \sqsubseteq g_{i_1} + g_{i_2}$$

$$z = \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_i g_i + (\alpha_{i_1} - 1)g_{i_1} + (\alpha_{i_2} - 1)g_{i_2} + \sum \beta_j \bar{g}_j$$

# Pottier's algorithm

**In:** symmetric generating set  $F$  for  $\ker(A)$   $\longrightarrow$  **Out:** Graver basis of  $A$

$$G := F \quad C := \bigcup_{f,g \in G} \{f + g\}$$

while  $C \neq \emptyset$  do

$$s := \text{an element in } C \quad C := C \setminus \{s\}$$

$$f := \text{normalForm}(s, G)$$

if  $f \neq 0$  then

$$C := C \cup \bigcup_{g \in G} \{f + g\} \quad G := G \cup \{f\}$$

return  $G$ .



# Normal form algorithm

Input: a vector  $s$ , a set  $G$  of vectors

Output: a normal form of  $s$  with respect to  $G$

while there is some  $g \in G$  such that  $g \sqsubseteq s$  do

$$s := s - g$$

return  $s$

# Termination and Correctness

Correctness upon termination is clear.

Termination follows from the Gordan-Dickson Lemma:

Every sequence  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  with  $p_i \not\leq p_j$  whenever  $i < j$  is finite.

Every sequence  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $p_i \not\preceq p_j$  whenever  $i < j$  is finite.

# State-of-the-art algorithm

- Apply Pottier's algorithm to achieve **Graver basis property** on a **subset of all variables**.

All vectors in  $\ker(A)$  (in particular: **all Graver bases elements**) can be **generated by increasing norm** on these variables.

- Apply Pottier's algorithm again, but to **all variables**.
  - **Fewer sums**  $f + g$  have to be considered. ( $f$  and  $g$  should have the same sign pattern on the chosen variables.)
  - Only those sums  $f + g$  have to be considered that fulfill **upper bound conditions** on the chosen variables.

## Critical-pair selection strategy

Choose  $s \in C$  by **increasing norm** on the given subset of all variables.

- $G(A)$  is constructed by **increasing norm** on subset of variables.
- $\text{normalForm}(s, G)$  needs only check **reducibility** w.r.t.  $G$ .
- **Exactly**  $G(A)$  is computed.

# Review proof

$$z \in \Lambda$$

$$z = \sum \alpha_i g_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, g_i \in G$$

$$\sum \alpha_i \|g_i\|_1 \geq \|z\|_1 \quad \text{triangle inequality}$$

**Equality** holds iff and only if  $g_i \sqsubseteq z$  for all  $i$ .

# Review proof (2)

Assume  $\sum \alpha_i \|g_i\|_1 > \|z\|_1 \longrightarrow \exists g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, k$  with  $g_{i_1}^{(k)} g_{i_2}^{(k)} < 0$

$$g_{i_1} + g_{i_2} = \sum \beta_j \bar{g}_j, \quad \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \bar{g}_j \in G$$

$$z = \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_i g_i + (\alpha_{i_1} - 1)g_{i_1} + (\alpha_{i_2} - 1)g_{i_2} + \sum \beta_j \bar{g}_j$$

# Computation of Hilbert bases

To compute Hilbert basis for cone  $\{z : Az = 0, z \geq 0\}$  do for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ :

- Guarantee PSP on first  $k$  variables.
- Extract vectors that fulfill sign conditions  $x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Applicable also for Hilbert bases of cones  $\{z : Az \leq 0\}$ . Simply rewrite as  $\{z : Az + u = 0, u \geq 0, z \text{ free}\}$ .

Theoretically, this approach can compute “any” set inbetween Hilbert basis and Graver basis.

# 4ti2's function "solve"

Is being implemented by Matthias Walter. This function allows to solve systems of the following form:

$$Ax = a$$

$$Bx \leq b$$

$$Cx \equiv c \pmod{p}$$

$$l \leq x \leq u$$



Each variable is either of type

- free
- Graver
- Hilbert

Output are two sets  $I$  and  $H$  such that each solution is the some of **one** element from  $I$  and a **nonnegative integer linear combination** of elements from  $H$ :

$$z = z_{\text{inhom}} + \sum \alpha_i z_{\text{hom},i}.$$

# Example

$$x + y + 2z = 3$$

$$-3x + y \leq 7$$

$$4x + z \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

All variables are free.

# Example (2)

Matrix file "example":

```
3 3
 1 1 2
-3 1 0
 4 0 1
```

Right-hand side file "example.rhs":

```
1 3
3 7 5
```

# Example (3)

Info file "example.ini":

all free

1 equ

2 leq

3 mod 7

# Example(4)

“Solve” rewrites the system as:

$$\begin{aligned}x + y + 2z - 3s &= 0 \\-3x + y - 7s + t &= 0 \\4x + z - 5s + 7u &= 0 \\s &\geq 0 \\t &\geq 0 \\s &\leq 1\end{aligned}$$

$x, y, z, u$  are free,  $s, t$  are Hilbert components.

# Example(5)

Matrix file "example":

```
3 6
 1 1 2 -3 0 0
-3 1 0 -7 1 0
 4 0 1 -5 0 7
```

Info file "example.ini":

```
all free
4 0 1
5 0 inf
all equ
```

# Example(6)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 21 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$$