Brownian Motion

Richard Lockhart

Simon Fraser University

STAT 870 — Summer 2011



Purposes of Today's Lecture

- Describe Brownian motion as a limit of random walks.
- Define Brownian motion.
- Describe properties of Brownian motion.
- Use refelection principle to deduce law of maximum.
- Define martingales.
- Derive Black-Scholes formula.



Brownian Motion

• For fair random walk Y_n = number of heads minus number of tails,

$$Y_n = U_1 + \cdots + U_n$$

where the U_i are independent and

$$P(U_i = 1) = P(U_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Notice:

$$E(U_i) = 0$$

 $Var(U_i) = 1$

• Recall central limit theorem:

$$\frac{U_1+\cdots+U_n}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow N(0,1)$$

• Now: rescale time axis so that *n* steps take 1 time unit and vertical axis so step size is $1/\sqrt{n}$.

Brownian Motion Graph





Richard Lockhart (Simon Fraser University)

Limit of Random Walks

- We now turn these pictures into a stochastic process:
- For $\frac{k}{n} \leq t < \frac{k+1}{n}$ we define

$$X_n(t) = \frac{U_1 + \dots + U_k}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Notice:

$$\mathrm{E}(X_n(t))=0$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_n(t)) = \frac{k}{n}$$

• As $n \to \infty$ with t fixed we see $k/n \to t$. Moreover:

$$\frac{U_1+\cdots+U_k}{\sqrt{k}}=\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}X_n(t)$$

converges to N(0,1) by the central limit theorem. Thus

$$X_n(t) \Rightarrow N(0,t)$$

Limit of Random Walks

- Also: $X_n(t+s) X_n(t)$ is independent of $X_n(t)$ because the 2 rvs involve sums of different U_i .
- Conclusions: As n→∞ the processes X_n converge to a process X with the properties:
 - **1** X(t) has a N(0, t) distribution.
 - X has independent increments: if

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$$

then

$$X(t_1)-X(t_0),\ldots,X(t_k)-X(t_{k-1})$$

are independent.



The increments are **stationary**: for all *s*

$$X(t+s) - X(s) \sim N(0,t)$$



Definition of Brownian Motion

Def'n: Any process satisfying 1-4 above is a Brownian motion.

Properties of Brownian motion

• Suppose t > s. Then

$$E(X(t)|X(s)) = E \{X(t) - X(s) + X(s)|X(s)\} = E \{X(t) - X(s)|X(s)\} + E \{X(s)|X(s)\} = 0 + X(s) = X(s)$$

Notice the use of independent increments and of E(Y|Y) = Y.

• Again if t > s:

$$Var \{X(t)|X(s)\} = Var \{X(t) - X(s) + X(s)|X(s)\} = Var \{X(t) - X(s)|X(s)\} = Var \{X(t) - X(s)\} = t - s$$



Conditional Distributions

- Suppose t < s. Then X(s) = X(t) + {X(s) X(t)} is a sum of two independent normal variables. Do following calculation:
- $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and $Y \sim N(0, \tau^2)$ independent. Z = X + Y.
- Compute conditional distribution of X given Z:

f

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X|Z}(x|z) &= \frac{f_{X,Z}(x,z)}{f_{Z}(z)} \\ &= \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,z-x)}{f_{Z}(z)} \\ &= \frac{f_{X}(x)f_{Y}(z-x)}{f_{Z}(z)} \end{aligned}$$



Conditional Distributions

• Now Z is
$$N(0, \gamma^2)$$
 where $\gamma^2 = \sigma^2 + \tau^2$ so

$$f_{X|Z}(x|z) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)}\frac{1}{\tau\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-(z-x)^2/(2\tau^2)}}{\frac{1}{\gamma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-z^2/(2\gamma^2)}}$$
$$= \frac{\gamma}{\tau\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp\{-(x-a)^2/(2b^2)\}$$

for suitable choices of *a* and *b*. To find them compare coefficients of x^2 , x and 1.



Conditional Distributions

• Coefficient of
$$x^2$$
:
$$\frac{1}{b^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}$$

so $b = \tau \sigma / \gamma$.

• Coefficient of x:

$$\frac{a}{b^2} = \frac{z}{\tau^2}$$

so that

$$a = b^2 z / \tau^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} z$$

• Finally you should check that

$$\frac{a^2}{b^2} = \frac{z^2}{\tau^2} - \frac{z^2}{\gamma^2}$$

to make sure the coefficients of 1 work out as well.

• So given Z = z conditional distribution of X is $N(a, b^2)$.



10 / 33

Application to Brownian motion

• For t < s let X be X(t) and Y be X(s) - X(t) so Z = X + Y = X(s).

• Then
$$\sigma^2 = t$$
, $\tau^2 = s - t$ and $\gamma^2 = s$.

Thus

$$b^2 = \frac{(s-t)t}{s}$$

and

$$a=\frac{t}{s}X(s)$$

• So:

$$\operatorname{E}(X(t)|X(s)) = \frac{t}{s}X(s)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(X(t)|X(s)) = \frac{(s-t)t}{s}$$



The Reflection Principle

٩	Tossing a fair coin: HTHHHTHTHHHHHHTTHTH	5 more heads than tails
	ТНТТТНТНТТНТТТННТНТ	5 more tails than heads

- Both sequences have the same probability.
- So: for random walk starting at stopping time:
- Any sequence with k more heads than tails in next m tosses is matched to sequence with k more tails than heads. Both sequences have same prob.
- Suppose Y_n is a fair (p = 1/2) random walk. Define

$$M_n = \max\{Y_k, 0 \le k \le n\}$$



Compute $P(M_n \ge x)$?

• Trick: Compute

$$P(M_n \ge x, Y_n = y)$$

• First: if $y \ge x$ then

$$\{M_n \ge x, Y_n = y\} = \{Y_n = y\}$$

• Second: if $M_n \ge x$ then

$$T \equiv \min\{k : Y_k = x\} \le n$$

- Fix *y* < *x*. Consider a sequence of H's and T's which leads to say *T* = *k* and *Y_n* = *y*.
- Switch the results of tosses k + 1 to *n* to get a sequence of H's and T's which has T = k and $Y_n = x + (x y) = 2x y > x$. This proves

$$P(T = k, Y_n = y) = P(T = k, Y_n = 2x - y)$$



Computation Continued

• This is true for each k so

$$P(M_n \ge x, Y_n = y) = P(M_n \ge x, Y_n = 2x - y)$$
$$= P(Y_n = 2x - y)$$

• Finally, sum over all y to get

$$P(M_n \ge x) = \sum_{y \ge x} P(Y_n = y) + \sum_{y < x} P(Y_n = 2x - y)$$

• Make the substitution k = 2x - y in the second sum to get

$$P(M_n \ge x) = \sum_{y \ge x} P(Y_n = y) + \sum_{k > x} P(Y_n = k)$$
$$= 2\sum_{k > x} P(Y_n = k) + P(Y_n = x)$$



Brownian motion version

• The supremum and hitting time for level x are:

$$M_t = \max\{X(s); 0 \le s \le t\}$$
$$T_x = \min\{s : X(s) = x\}$$

Then

$$\{T_x \le t\} = \{M_t \ge x\}$$

• Any path with $T_x = s < t$ and X(t) = y < x is matched to an equally likely path with $T_x = s < t$ and X(t) = 2x - y > x.

• So for y > x

$$P(M_t \ge x, X(t) > y) = P(X(t) > y)$$

while for y < x

$$P(M_t \ge x, X(t) < y) = P(X(t) > 2x - y)$$



Reflection Principal Graphically





Strong Markov Propery

• A random variable T which is non-negative (or possibly $+\infty$) is a stopping time for Brownian motion if

 $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{H}_t = \sigma\{B(u); 0 \leq u \leq t\}.$

- The first time T_x that $B_t = x$ is a stopping time.
- For any stopping time T the process

$$t\mapsto B(T+t)-B(t)$$

is a Brownian motion.

- The future of the process from T on is like the process started at B(T) at t = 0.
- Brownian motion is symmetric: if B is a Brownian motion so is -B.
 So

$$W(t) = \begin{cases} B_t & t < T \\ B(T) - (B(T + t - B(T))) & t \ge T \end{cases}$$

is a Brownian motion.

• This proves the reflection principle.



Reflection Principle Continued

• Let $y \to x$ to get

$$P(M_t \ge x, X(t) > x) = P(M_t \ge x, X(t) < x)$$
$$= P(X(t) > x)$$

• Adding these together gives

$$P(M_t > x) = 2P(X(t) > x)$$

= $2P(N(0,1) > x/\sqrt{t})$

• Hence M_t has the distribution of |N(0, t)|.



Reflection

• On the other hand in view of

$$\{T_x \le t\} = \{M_t \ge x\}$$

the density of T_x is

$$\frac{d}{dt}2P(N(0,1)>x/\sqrt{t})$$

• Use the chain rule to compute this.

First

$$\frac{d}{dy}P(N(0,1)>y)=-\phi(y)$$

where ϕ is the standard normal density

$$\phi(y) = \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

because P(N(0,1) > y) is 1 minus the standard normal cdf.



First Passage Time Law

So

$$\frac{d}{dt} 2P(N(0,1) > x/\sqrt{t})$$
$$= -2\phi(x/\sqrt{t})\frac{d}{dt}(x/\sqrt{t})$$
$$= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi}t^{3/2}}\exp\{-x^2/(2t)\}$$

- This density is called the Inverse Gaussian density.
- T_x is called a **first passage time**
- NOTE: the preceding is a density when viewed as a function of the variable *t*.



Def'n: A stochastic process M(t) indexed by either a discrete or continuous time parameter t is a **martingale** if:

$$\mathrm{E}\{M(t)|M(u); 0 \leq u \leq s\} = M(s)$$

whenever s < t.



Examples of Martingales

- A fair random walk is a martingale.
- If N(t) is a Poisson Process with rate λ then N(t) λt is a martingale.
- Standard Brownian motion (defined above) is a martingale.
- Brownian motion with drift is a process of the form

$$X(t) = \sigma B(t) + \mu t$$

where B is standard Brownian motion, introduced earlier.

- X is a martingale if $\mu = 0$.
- We call μ the **drift**.



More Examples

• If X(t) is a Brownian motion with drift then

$$Y(t) = e^{X(t)}$$

is a geometric Brownian motion.

- For suitable μ and σ we can make Y(t) a martingale.
- If a gambler makes a sequence of fair bets and M_n is the amount of money s/he has after n bets then M_n is a martingale – even if the bets made depend on the outcomes of previous bets, that is, even if the gambler plays a strategy.



Some evidence for some of the above

• Random walk: U_1, U_2, \ldots iid with

$$P(U_i = 1) = P(U_i = -1) = 1/2$$

and $Y_k = U_1 + \cdots + U_k$ with $Y_0 = 0$. • Then

$$E(Y_n | Y_0, \dots, Y_k)$$

$$= E(Y_n - Y_k + Y_k | Y_0, \dots, Y_k)$$

$$= E(Y_n - Y_k | Y_0, \dots, Y_k) + Y_k$$

$$= \sum_{k+1}^n E(U_j | U_1, \dots, U_k) + Y_k$$

$$= \sum_{k+1}^n E(U_j) + Y_k$$

$$= Y_k$$



Things to notice

- Y_k treated as constant given Y_1, \ldots, Y_k .
- Knowing Y_1, \ldots, Y_k is equivalent to knowing U_1, \ldots, U_k .
- For j > k we have U_j independent of U_1, \ldots, U_k so conditional expectation is unconditional expectation.
- Since Standard Brownian Motion is limit of such random walks we get martingale property for standard Brownian motion.



Another martingale

Poisson Process: $X(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$. Fix t > s.

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{E}(X(t)|X(u); 0 &\leq u \leq s) \\ &= \mathrm{E}(X(t) - X(s) + X(s)|\mathcal{H}_s) \\ &= \mathrm{E}(X(t) - X(s)|\mathcal{H}_s) + X(s) \\ &= \mathrm{E}(N(t) - N(s) - \lambda(t-s)|\mathcal{H}_s) + X(s) \\ &= \mathrm{E}(N(t) - N(s)) - \lambda(t-s) + X(s) \\ &= \lambda(t-s) - \lambda(t-s) + X(s) \\ &= X(s) \end{split}$$

Things to notice:

- I used independent increments.
- \mathcal{H}_s is shorthand for the conditioning event.
- Similar to random walk calculation.



Black Scholes

• We model the price of a stock as

$$X(t) = x_0 e^{Y(t)}$$

where

$$Y(t) = \sigma B(t) + \mu t$$

is a Brownian motion with drift (B is standard Brownian motion).

- If annual interest rates are $e^{\alpha} 1$ we call α the instantaneous interest rate; if we invest \$1 at time 0 then at time t we would have $e^{\alpha t}$.
- In this sense an amount of money x(t) to be paid at time t is worth only e^{-αt}x(t) at time 0 (because that much money at time 0 will grow to x(t) by time t).



Present Value

 If the stock price at time t is X(t) per share then the present value of 1 share to be delivered at time t is

$$Z(t) = e^{-\alpha t} X(t)$$

• With X as above we see

$$Z(t) = x_0 e^{\sigma B(t) + (\mu - \alpha)t}$$

Now we compute

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{Z(t)|Z(u); 0 \le u \le s\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{Z(t)|B(u); 0 \le u \le s\right\}$$

for s < t.

Write

$$Z(t) = x_0 e^{\sigma B(s) + (\mu - \alpha)t} \times e^{\sigma (B(t) - B(s))}$$

• Since B has independent increments we find

$$\mathrm{E}\left\{Z(t)|B(u); 0 \le u \le s\right\} = x_0 e^{\sigma B(s) + (\mu - \alpha)t} \mathrm{E}\left[e^{\sigma\{B(t) - B(s)\}}\right]$$



Moment Generating Functions

- Note: B(t) B(s) is N(0, t s); the expected value needed is the moment generating function of this variable at σ.
- Suppose $U \sim N(0,1)$. The Moment Generating Function of U is

$$M_U(r) = \mathrm{E}(e^{rU}) = e^{r^2/2}$$

Rewrite

$$\sigma\{B(t)-B(s)\}=\sigma\sqrt{t-s}U$$

where $U \sim N(0,1)$ to see

$$\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\sigma\{B(t)-B(s)\}}\right] = e^{\sigma^2(t-s)/2}$$

$$E\{Z(t)|Z(u); 0 \le u \le s\} = x_0 e^{\sigma B(s) + (\mu - \alpha)s} e^{(\mu - \alpha)(t-s) + \sigma^2(t-s)/2} = Z(s)$$

provided

$$\mu + \sigma^2/2 = \alpha \, .$$



Option Pricing

- If this identity is satisfied then the present value of the stock price is a martingale.
- Suppose you can pay \$c today for the right to pay K for a share of this stock at time t (regardless of the actual price at time t).
- If, at time t, X(t) > K you will exercise your option and buy the share making X(t) K dollars.
- If $X(t) \leq K$ you will not exercise your option; it becomes worthless.
- The present value of this option is

$$e^{-lpha t}(X(t)-K)_+-c$$

where

$$z_{+} = \begin{cases} z & z > 0 \\ 0 & z \le 0 \end{cases}$$

(Called **positive part** of z.)



In a fair market

- The discounted share price $e^{-\alpha t}X(t)$ is a martingale.
- The expected present value of the option is 0.

So:

$$c = e^{-\alpha t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left\{X(t) - K\right\}_{+}\right]$$

Since

$$X(t) = x_0 e^{N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)}$$

we are to compute

$$\mathrm{E}\left\{\left(x_{0}e^{\sigma t^{1/2}U+\mu t}-K\right)_{+}\right\}$$



Black-Scholes Continued

This is

$$\int_a^\infty \left(x_0 e^{bu+d} - K\right) e^{-u^2/2} du / \sqrt{2\pi}$$

where

$$a = (\log(K/x_0) - \mu t)/(\sigma t^{1/2}), b = \sigma t^{1/2}, d = \mu t$$

Evidently

$$K\int_a^{\infty}e^{-u^2/2}du/\sqrt{2\pi}=KP(N(0,1)>a)$$

• The other integral needed is

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2}/2 + bu} du / \sqrt{2\pi} = \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(u-b)^{2}/2} e^{b^{2}/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du$$
$$= \int_{a-b}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-v^{2}/2} e^{b^{2}/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dv$$
$$= e^{b^{2}/2} P(N(0,1) > a - b)$$



Black-Scholes Continued

Introduce the notation

$$\Phi(v) = P(N(0,1) \le v) = P(N(0,1) > -v)$$

and do all the algebra to get

$$c = \left\{ e^{-\alpha t} e^{b^2/2 + d} x_0 \Phi(b - a) - K e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(-a) \right\}$$
$$= x_0 e^{(\mu + \sigma^2/2 - \alpha)t} \Phi(b - a) - K e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(-a)$$
$$= x_0 \Phi(b - a) - K e^{-\alpha t} \Phi(-a)$$

• This is the Black-Scholes option pricing formula.

