## Chapter XIII: After the French Invasion

- Introduction
- 1066 Battle of Hastings (William the Conqueror)
- The Norman Invasion established French as the language of England.
- The Normans were originally Vikings (Norman= North + Man)
- William established himself as King William I.
- Redistributed the lands among his supporters.


## Introduction

- Consequently, Norman French became the prestige language in England (government, justice, \& education).
- English was spoken by only second class citizens.
- This social situation had significant consequences for the English language- penetrated the lexicon and the grammar.
- English lost the inflectional morphology characteristic of the Germanic languages.
- The word order of English came to resemble French word order more than Germanic.


## Introduction con't

- $\quad$ Social stratification can be observed when comparing native words and French borrowings from the same semantic domain. Example:
- English

French

- pig
- chicken
pork
poultry
beef
- sheep
mutton
- calf
veal
The animal is English; the food is French.
Illustrating who was tending the animals and who was eating them.


## Introduction con't

- English has borrowed continuously from Latin.
- Beginning in the Middle English period, from French.
- This pattern of borrowing establishes the opportunity for English to borrow the same word at different points in its history.
- Example: English borrowed humility from Latin. The word developed in French and was borrowed again into English as humble.
- Two changes have occurred:
- The $i$ of humil has been deleted.
- A $b$ has been inserted between $m$ and $l$.


## Introduction con't

- French has rules.
- By examining the same word borrowed at different times, we can determine how the language from which these words are borrowed (in this case French) has changed.
- A knowledge of French phonology will help correlate Latin and French borrowings.
- We will examine several of the phonological rules that contributed to the transformation of Latin into Frenchthat now relate the Latin and French partitions of the English Lexicon.
- Extensive phonological changes created French from Latin- obscure original structure of each word.


## Borrowed Germanic Words

- English has borrowed Germanic words from French.
- French has borrowed words from the Germanic languages which English, some centuries later, then borrowed back.
- These words will have been naturalized in French.
- Consequently, they betray some French phonological rules. Example:
- English
- ward
- wise
- wile

French
guard
guise
guile

## Germanic words con't

- Whenever a word began with $w$, French added $g$ to it.
- Rule: [w --> gw] $]_{\text {French }}(-->g)$
- English has a similar process in its history.
- Latin vivus [wiwus].
- The English cognate is quick.
- wiw--> gwigw --> kwikw --> kwik
- [g] is added to [w].
- Grimm's Law: [g] to [k].


## Lenition

- The first rule we will consider:
- $\mathrm{V}\{\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}\} \mathrm{V}-->\mathrm{VvV}$
- probe vs. prove
- This was a general rule that applied to labial stops generally when they appeared between vowels.
- Keep in mind when contrasting Latin and French borrowings that they each represent etymologically slightly different forms.
- The lenition rule is responsible for a series of alternations found in words that are built from the root $\sqrt{ }$ cap "take".
- Words with this root have past participle derivatives with the labial stop.
- However, their verbal forms have $v$ (deception vs. deceive).
- The nominal form borrowed from Latin, the verbal from French.


## Lenition con't

- $\quad$ This kind of rule id called lenition, literally a "softening".
- A fricative like [v] is somehow weaker or softer than stops like [p] and [b].
- A natural outcome of lenition is loss of the consonant altogether.
- Example: super (Latin borrowing), sovereign (French reflex). [p] to [v].
- The [p] of super can also be deleted completely in French: super > sur


## Lenition con't

- Complete lenition in super creates the prefix sur-.
- Appears in a large number of familiar words that one may not have considered to have internal structure nor to be borrowings.
- Lenition also applied to dentals [t] and [d] between vowels.
- They always softened to the point that they disappeared.
- If both labial and dental stops lenite, that it is expected that velar stops will as well.
- There are some examples of lenition of velars.


## Vocalization

- Intervocalic velars could develop in either of 2 directions.
- In addition to lenition, they could also become more vowel like.
- The rule:
- $\mathrm{V}\{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{g}\} \mathrm{V}$--> VyV
- $\quad$ Sometimes the $y$ appears as $i$.


## Vocalization con't

- One of the more important rules to which this rule applied is $\sqrt{ }$ fac "make".
- The French version of this is -fy.
- This root has become a suffix that can be added to a noun or adjective to create a verb meaning "to make X ".
- This form has become productive in English.
- Lexemes such as petrify and uglify have English roots and demonstrate the suffix's productivity.
- These lexemes continue a pattern that began in Latin: using the root $\sqrt{ }$ fac "make" in compounds.
- The frequent use of $\sqrt{ } f a c$ as the second member of a compound established a trend which, although the root was changed in French to -fy continued in French and now in English.


## Vocalization con't

- The velars also vocalized when they were followed by a consonant.
- Note: the character representing the reflex is $i$ rather than $y$.
- This emphasizes (again) the historical relationship between these 2 characters and the phonological relationship between the sounds [i] and [y].

1. Rule: $[\{\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{g}\} \mathrm{C}-->\mathrm{y} C]_{\text {French }}$

## Clusters of Rules

- Often phonological rules are organized into clusters that apply to obscure the morphological structure.
- Sometimes a morphological structure creates the opportunity for a single rule to apply, often a phonological rule will create opportunities for another phonological rule which itself creates opportunities for further rules, and so on.
- It is useful to keep this in mind when trying to find relations among lexemes.
- Table XIII.13: Cognates
- In every case, a velar disappeared and the preceding vowel has become a diphthong.
- A velar will vocalize to [y].
- From $\sqrt{ }$ sanct, we expect sanyt.


## Clusters of Rules con't

- In our examination of Latin nasal roots (Chapter X), we observed a process called metathesis.
- This process would do exactly what we need for saint.
- From sanyt, metathesis would create saynt.
- Given that the characters $i$ and $y$ are used interchangeably, it is justifiable to equate saynt with saint.
- But is metathesis justified for French?
- We must first demonstrate that the metathesis rule also occurs in French.
- Consider the forms in Table XIII.14.


## Clusters of Rules con't

- Usually Latin words are borrowed into English the inflectional endings are discarded.
- The -us of cuneus, the -is of potionis, the $a$ of folia are ultimately discarded, but not before they have affected the French words.
- Comparing the French borrowing to the actual Latin word, not the Latin word as it appears in English.
- To normalize the data:
- First:In the evolution of Latin into the Romance languages, both [i] and [e] convert to [y] when they were followed by other vowels.


## Clusters of Rules con't

- Rule: $[\{i / \mathrm{e}\} \mathrm{V} \text {--> yV }]_{\text {French }}$
- With the exception of adjuntant/aid, all the Latin forms have either [i] or [e] followed by a vowel.
- We can expect that these will convert to [y] in French.
- Second: $j$ is new and was created by elongating $i$.
- In a word like adjuntant, the character was originally an $i$ in Latin.
- Thus, adjuntant was originally adiuntant which will have converted to adyutant by the rule we just proposed.
- This normalized the data to that in Table XIII.15.


## Cluster Rules con't

- The pairs memory/memoir and foly/foil show what happened next.
- When a word contained a consonant followed by [y], metathesis applied to reverse their order so that the [y] preceded the consonant and formed a diphthong with the preceding vowel:
- $\quad[\mathrm{Cy} \mathrm{-->} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{C]}]_{\text {French }}$
- The orthographic $i$ of memoir, foil etc. does not represent [i]. It appears to represent an historical [y].
- In saint, point, joint etc., the velar vocalized to $y$ before a consonant and then metathesizes.
- 2 rules that are required for independent reasons can interact with each other to produce more mysterious output.


## Syncope

- Common rule in the evolution of the Romance languages is the loss of an unstressed vowel.
- When the vowel is in the middle of a word, its loss is called syncope.
- Syncope applied only to unstressed vowels.
- Rule: $\left[\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{V}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{V}_{3^{--}}>\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{CCV}_{3}\right]_{\text {French }}$
- The third vowel is not always apparent.
- Example: debit>debt.
- The third vowel has been dropped.
- The Latin form of debit is debitus.
- By bringing consonants into contact syncope provides opprotunities for other rules to apply.


## Epenthesis

- One of the rules that syncope triggered was epenthesis.
- Consider the data in Table XIII. 18.
- In every case, the medial vowel has deleted to bring a nasal and $r$ or $l$ together.
- This sequence is then interrupted by the insertion of a stop.
- Notice that the type of stop that is inserted is determined by the nasal.
- Labial nasal [m], labial stop inserted.
- Dental nasal [n], dental stop inserted.


## Epenthesis con't

- $\quad[\mathrm{mr}-->\mathrm{mbr}]_{\text {French }}$
- $\quad[\mathrm{nr}-->\mathrm{ndr}]_{\text {French }}$
- $\quad[\mathrm{ml} \mathrm{-->} \mathrm{mbl}]_{\text {French }}$


## Cluster Simplification

- Syncope will bring together clusters of consonants.
- The resulting cluster will then be simplified by deleting 1 or more of the consonants in the cluster.
- Consider Table XIII.19.
- First, the change of hospital to hostel requires explanation.
- The medial vowel will delete.
- The resulting cluster spt is simplified to $s t$.
- A similar rule has applied in the other forms.
- Rule: $\left[\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}-->\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3}\right]_{\text {Old French }}$


## Cluster Simplification con't

- Finally, in French hotel the [s] does delete.
- This demonstrates a more abstract type of change than the type that we have been considering: change to the rules themselves.
- Recall that in Latin we had proposed a rule:
- $\quad[\mathrm{zC}-->C]_{\text {Latin }}$
- This was proposed to account for deletion of [s] before voiced consonants. In French, we require a rule:
- $\quad[\mathrm{sC}-->\mathrm{C}]_{\text {French }}$
- It appears that the original Latin rule has changed so that it applies to [s] as well as [z]:
- $\quad[\mathrm{zC}-->\mathrm{C}]_{\text {Latin }}-->[\mathrm{sC}-->\mathrm{C}]$
- The original rule has generalized.


## Prothesis

- A very common rule throughout the Romance languages is the addition of a vowel to the front of any word that began with [s] followed by a consonant.
- $\quad$ See Table XIIII. 20.
- The forms of Old French illustrate the Romance rule:
- $\quad[\# \mathrm{sC}-->\# \mathrm{EsC}]_{\text {Romance }}$
- In addition, the data from French column provide further support for the rule deleting $s$ proposed in the previous section.
- The derivation of epaulet combines a number of rules.
- See Table XIII.21.


## Assibilation

- Already seen one aspect of French assibilation:
- potent vs. potency
- The assibilation rule is:

- Example: vitiate/vice
- Examples where the Latin past participle assibilates when the nominalizing suffix that triggered assibilation in the present participle is attached to it. Table XIII. 22.


## Assibilation of Velars

- [t] is not the only sound to undergo assibilation.
- The velars very frequently assibilated.
- When does the character $c$ represent the sound $[k]$ and when does it represent the sound [s]?
- This is one occasion when the English spelling system is quite regular.
- $\quad c$ represents the sound [s] when it is followed by either $i$ or $e$.
- This is no accident.
- The $c$ always represented the sound [k] in Latin.
- However, in French this [k] assibilated before [i] and [e].


## Assibilation of Velars con't

- This phonological rule is now reflected in the English spelling system.
- This is likely the most robust rule among those for English spelling:
- $\quad[k\{i / e\}-->s\{i / e\}]_{\text {Old French }}$
- French took this process further than the other Romance languages.
- In addition to assibilating [k] before [i] and [e], French also assibilated [ k ] before [a], although here the reflex was [ t$]$ ].
- Rule: $[\mathrm{ka} \mathrm{-->} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{a}]_{\text {Old French }}$


## Assibilation of Velars con't

- The [t 5$]$ sound changed to [ $\left.\int\right]$ in modern French.
- If a word was borrowed a second time, it would show this change as well as the original assibilation.
- Table XIII. 25 gives examples of triplets: words borrowed from Latin with [k], their cognates from Old French with [ t ]] and a second borrowing from French with the modern [ [J].
- Rule: $\left[\mathrm{t} \int-->\int\right]_{\text {French }}$
- The voiced velar [g] also assibilated before [i] and [e].
- It shows the profession from affricate to fricative in French.


## Assibilation of Velars con't

- There are examples of $g$ before either [i] or [e] but is pronounced [g].
- Examples: geese, gild and gill.
- These are not French words.
- This assibilation rule applies only to French and Latin borrowings but not in English or other languages from which it has borrowed.


## Assibilation of Labials

- Labials also assibilated.
- Normalize the data.
- $\quad i$ and $e$ will convert to $[\mathrm{y}]$ before another vowel.
- The labial [p], [b], and [v] is followed by either [i] or [e] with is itself followed by a vowel.
- The labial will at some point in the history of French be followed by [y].
- Given this- the data in Table XIII. 27 can be normalized as the data in Table XIII. 28.
- Although all four of the examples are classified as French borrowings there is a subtle difference.


## Assibilation of Labials con't

- The reflex of assibilation in cage, rage, and deluge is [d3], in rouge it is [3].
- This is similar to the assibilation of [k] and [g].
- The affricate reflex is from Old French.
- Rouge is a more recent borrowing and shows the deaffricaton of French.
- [labial y --> d3 $]_{\text {Old French }}$
- $\quad[\mathrm{d} 3-->3]_{\text {French }}$


## Assibilation of Nasals

- Under the right conditions, nasals also assibilated in French.
- Either the nasal is immediately followed by [y] as in extraneous or an intervocalic consonant has deleted creating the conditions for converting [i] to [y].
- Thus, the rule is that a nasal will assibilate in French if it is followed by [y].


## Contraction

- The Latin diphthong au contracted to $o$ in French.
- Table XIII. 30.
- Notice that noise shows both contraction of $a u$ to $o$ and metathesis to create a new diphthong.
- Please be prepared for Chapter XIV: Word Formation Processes \& Chapter XV: The Great English Vowel Shift

