## Chapter X: Other Operations- Introduction

- Examine phonological rules & morphological structures that are somewhat more exotic.
- We will examine the following processes:
  - Metathesis
  - Medial Vowel Weakening
  - Rhotacization
  - Vowel Raising
  - Epenthesis
  - Reduplication

#### The Nasal Increment

- A small group of roots have a nasal inside the root in some forms but not in others.
- Called: Nasal Increment
- Its exact purpose has been lost.
- Reconstruct the processes by which it is inserted.
- Note: When the nasal is present the final [s] of the root has disappeared.
  - Remember: the [s] will always disappear in Latin phonology when it is followed by a voiced consonant.
  - Also: consonants will usually assimilate in voicing to a following consonant.
  - Since [n] is voiced, [s] will assimilate to [z].

#### The Nasal Increment con't

- As we have seen previously- the [z] deletes.
  - [Voicing Assimilation]<sub>Latin</sub>
  - [zC --> C]<sub>Latin</sub>
- Consider the root pos + n
- What we conclude is that in the early years, Latin (and other Indo-European Languages) had the rule:
  - $Root_{Nasal} \Rightarrow Root + n$
- What about tangent?

#### The Nasal Increment con't

| ex + pos + n + e + nt | pro + pos + n + e + nt |   |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|
| ex + poz + n + e + nt | pro + poz + n + e + nt | [Voicing Assimilation] <sub>Latin</sub> |
| ex + po + n + e + nt  | pro + po + n + e + nt  | [zC> C] <sub>Latin</sub>                |
| exponent              | proponent              | Remove "+"                              |

#### Metathesis

- tangent:
  - tag + n + e + nt
- To get the correct form here we must move the nasal inside the root: e.g. tag + n > tang
- Processes of this sort are called Metathesis.
- A Metathesis rule is a phonological rule that switches the position of 2 sounds.
  - $[C + n --> nC]_{Latin}$
- The effect of this rule is to insert the nasal increment into the root.

#### Metathesis con't

- Consider: dimension & incumbent
- After metathesis:
  - -in + cunb + e + nt
  - The nasal increment has partially assimilated to the following
    [b].
- Dimension is a little more complicated.

#### Dimension

| pro+pos+n+e+nt | dis+met+n+e+t+ion |                                     |  |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| pro+poz+n+e+nt | diz+met+n+e+t+ion | [Voicing Assimilation] <sub>L</sub> |  |
| pro+po+n+e+nt  | di+met+n+e+t+ion  | zC> C                               |  |
|                | di+ment+e+t+ion   | Metathesis                          |  |
|                | di+ment+t+ion     | e + t> +t                           |  |
|                | di+menss+ion      | t + t> ss                           |  |
|                | di+mens+ion       | Css> Cs                             |  |
| proponent      | dimension         | Remove "+"                          |  |

#### Metathesis con't

- What happens if the nasal is added to a nominal root?
- If the last consonant is a dental or a labial, it assimilates to a nasal.
  - sop + n becomes somn
  - pet + n becomes penn
- Velars also assimilate to a nasal.
  - dec + n becomes dign
  - mag + n becomes magn
- The digraph gn signified the sound [n], the velar nasal.

## Nouns with Nasals

| insomnia | sop + n | √sop | sleep   | soporific                 |
|----------|---------|------|---------|---------------------------|
| pennate  | pet + n | √pet | feather | (helicopter < Greek)      |
| animal   | at + n  | √at  | spirit  | (Mahatma<br>great spirit) |
| magnify  | mag + n | √mag | great   | magistrate                |
| dignity  | dec + n | √dec | befit   | decent                    |
| sign     | sec + n | √sec | cut     | secant                    |

#### Metathesis con't

- Why does the nasal metathesize in verbs but not in nouns?
- Two possible explanations:
- 1. The nasal increment of verbs is a different construction from that of the nouns and somehow this makes the difference.
- 2. The noun construction was created later than the verb construction and the metathesis rule no longer applied.
- As your text illustrates, based on previous analyses, it appears as though the second explanation is likely the correct one.

## Medial Vowel Weakening

- One of the dramatic changes that occurs to Latin vowels is a raising (for example, from [a] to [e] to [i]) when they appear in the middle of words.
- When the vowel of the root is *e*, it will raise to *i* if it is in the middle of the words and there is only 1 consonant following.
- The vowel does not raise if:
  - It is the first vowel in the word
  - The last vowel in the word
  - If it is followed by more than 1 consonant

## Medial Vowel Weakening

| √spec | see  | species  | inspection | susp <u>i</u> cion    |
|-------|------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
| √reg  | rule | regal    | correction | incorr <u>i</u> gible |
| √sed  | sit  | sediment | session    | ins <u>i</u> dious    |

## Medial Vowel Weakening con't

- Medial a will also change.
- 1. When the vowel of the root is *a*, it will raise to *i* if it is in the middle of the words and there is only 1 consonant following.
- 2. When a prefix is added a will change to e.
- We need to rules:
- 1.  $[V C^n a \longrightarrow V C^n e]_{Latin}$
- 2.  $[V C^n e CV \longrightarrow V C^n i C V]_{Latin}$
- The first rule converts a to e.
- The second converts *e* to *i*.
- Under the right circumstances and applied in the right order, these 2 rules will together convert *a* to *i*.

## Medial Vowel Weakening con't

- One rule  $a \rightarrow e$  creates an opportunity for another rule  $e \rightarrow i$  to apply.
- However, consider the compounds: manufacture, satisfaction, and benefaction.
- If is clear that medial vowel weakening does not apply to these compounds.
- It does apply to the following compounds: magnificent, significant, and quantification.
  - The change that has occurred here is from a --> i.
  - Note: the change that did not occur with the first set of compounds is from a --> e.

## Medial Vowel Weakening con't

- This creates a problem for our previous analysis.
- Since the rule a-->e does not apply to compounds, the only way to explain the second set of compounds it so assume that a rule weakening a-->i does exist.
  - $[V C^n a CV \longrightarrow V C^n i CV]_{Latin}$
  - It is necessary to include this rule.

# Medial Vowel Weakening & the Nasal Increment

- Given the rules to this point, we expect that the words in Table X.17. will have the vowel *e* because the root vowel is followed by 2 consonants.
- Instead each word has the vowel i.
- The sequence in both of these is *ng*.
- This sequence is equivalent to [ŋg].
- e raises to i before [n].

## Other Alternations: Rhotacising Roots

- Often when [s] appears between vowels it will rhotacise to [r].
- As long a the [s] is followed by a consonant, it appears as such.
- However, whenever it appears between vowels, ti transforms to [r].
  - $[VsV --> VrV]_{Latin}$
  - Note: English has a rule that inserted [t] between [s] and [r].

#### Alternations between u/v

- *v* was created from the character *u*.
- This is analogous to the change by which [w] became [v], thus giving rise to an alternation between the characters *u*, which originally represented both [u] and [w], and *v*, which now represents [v].
- Rule:
  - $[uV --> wV]_{Latin}$
  - $[w \rightarrow v]_{Romance}$

## Vowel Raising

- English has two versions of *l* depending on where in the syllable it is located.
- When it appears at the beginning of a syllable, it is produced relatively forward in the mouth and is called a "light l" (*light*).
- When it appears at the end of a syllable it is produced farther back and is called a "dark l" (full).
- Latin also had these different forms of *l*.
- When a vowel appeared before a "dark l" it assimilated by moving back and high.
- Thus roots that ended in *l* will often show *u* when the root is followed by a consonant.

## Vowel Raising con't

- The rules states that any vowel will change to *u* if followed by *l* and another consonant.
  - $[VlC --> ulC]_{Latin}$

#### The "s" Increment

- Some verb roots have been augmented with s.
- The function of this increment is unknown.
- What effect does it have on the root?
- When the final stop is [k], as in the root *noc*, the resulting sequence is [ks], which is represented by the character x.
- When the final stop is a [g], the resulting sequence [gs] converts to [ks].
- When the final consonants are gh then:
  - gh + s --> g + s
  - g + s --> k + s

#### The "s" Increment con't

- Roots that end in [1], or more properly [11].
- Although the roots end in a geminate [ll], there is only 1 [l] after the [s] is added.
  - [1 + s --> s + s]
- This sort of rule will feed into the rule that we have already proposed that converts [ss] to [s] if preceded by a consonant:
  - $[C s s \rightarrow C s]_{Latin+}$
- Given this set of rules, the sequence of changes would be:
  - -11 + s --> 1ss
  - lss + ls

## **Epenthesis**

- A consonant cluster can be broken up by the insertion of another consonant.
- The common root to which this rule applied is  $\sqrt{\text{em}}$ .
- The rule applied in the past participle.
- This rule inserted p between the m of the root and the past participle t.
- The rule is:
  - m + t --> mpt

## Reduplication

- The repetition of some part or all of a linguistic unit.
- An ancient formation that required the root be reduplicated was preserved in Latin in only a few forms.
- One root  $\sqrt{\text{sta}}$  "stand" was borrowed into English in both its reduplicated and unreduplicated forms.
  - Example: statue and resistant
  - − sta ⇒ stasta
  - stasta ⇒ stista
  - A dissimilation rule: the rule will delete a portion of a consonant cluster whenever the cluster is repeated in the lexeme.
  - stista ⇒ sista

#### The Verb To Be

- Remnants of a labial verb:
  - be, been, was and were
- Remnants of a sibilant verb:
  - is and are
- Latin also had both the labial and sibilant forms.
- The Labial form:
  - fui 'I have been'
- The Sibilant form:
  - sum "I am", es "you (sg.) are"

#### The Verb To Be con't

- The Sibilant root is a bit more productive but is difficult to find.
- It appears in essence.
  - Present participle.
  - Formed off of the infinitive esse "to be".
  - The infinitive morpheme appears as -se instead of -re as usual.
  - The -re is in fact a rhotacized form of the original infinitive -se.
  - The sibilant root alternates between es- and s-.