What controls esker formation on the Canadian Prairies?

D.B. Sjogren - University of Calgary, CANADA T.A. Brennand - Simon Fraser University, CANADA

ABSTRACT

Compared to the Canadian Shield, the Alberta prairie contains only a few, small eskers. Research has attributed this paucity to active ice sheet retreat, preferential development of canals rather than R-channels on deformable beds, and/or high permeability of substrate limiting the formation of R-channels. Based on their distribution, size, shape, morpho-sedimentary relationships and association with substrate, and topography we make several conclusions. (1) Esker pattern and the absence of systematic recessional moraines indicate that the hydrologic system recorded by eskers formed under regionally stagnant ice. (2) The presence of eskers on soft bedrock or fine-grained till contradicts the contention that R-channel drainage is precluded on a deformable substrate. (3) The association of large eskers, large lake basins and tunnel channels indicates that esker formation and preservation was facilitated by a ready sediment supply from antecedent tunnel channel fills and fans, hydraulic damming by glacial lakes or reservoirs, and decanting of meltwater from other lake basins. (4) Where an association with tunnel channels and large lakes is absent, the chaotic distribution/pattern and the prevalence of faulting and deformation within eskers indicate that eskers formed from short-lived and unstable R-channels.

BACKGROUND:

Compared to the Canadian Shield, the Canadian Prairies contain only a few, small eskers (red in Fig. 1) [1]. Research has attributed this paucity to preferential development of canals when drainage occurs over a deformable substrate and/or the high permeability of the clastic bedrock [2]. Both would inhibit the formation of R-channels necessary for esker development. The reversal of flow into deep aquifers supports this contention [3]. However, over 380 eskers exist in southern Alberta (red in Fig. 2). Therefore, the objective of this research is to evaluate the various controls on esker formation in this setting.



DATA:

Alberta Geological Survey maps 207, 213 and 236 provided, in GIS format, bedrock and surficial geology. A DEM (100 m postings), provided by Altalis, was used for terrain analysis. Aerial photographs were used as a check on the GIS data. This check resulted in many "non-eskers" being deleted and a few unmapped eskers added. Ambiguous eskers were field checked. The resulting compilations are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.



DOES THE SUBSTRATE IMPACT ESKER LOCATION?

We performed multiple overlay analyses using the data in Figs. 3 & 4. These included using esker centroids and individual segments. Only the centroid analyses are presented (results are similar). The results were used to test the hypothesis that eskers have an expected occurrence based on the weighted areas of the substrate types. We used a chi-square analysis in conjunction with a Bonferroni z-statistic [4] to estimate if eskers occur more, or less, often in any substrate type. The Bonferroni adjustments were necessary when simultaneously estimating multiple subtrate types and resulted in more appropriate confidence intervals. All analyses were significant at 90% confidence.







- data. In fact, eskers seem to preferentially form in areas of thick till. The Paskapoo sandstone (a regional aguifer) does have fewer eskers than expected. This may
- ndicate an important influence of substrate permeability
- The significantly higher number of observed eskers in the Willow & Scollard formations can not be explained by the impermeability of the bedrock. The Bearpaw formation is more impermeable and
- does not have higher proportion of eskers